

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,912		01/24/2002	Mustafa Akram	H 3933 PCT/US	7117
423	7590	02/11/2005		EXAMINER	
HENKEL			ELHILO, EISA B		
THE TRIA	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2200 RENA GULPH M			1751	THE EXTONIBER	

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 4 10 10							
		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	055 - 4 - 4 0	09/937,912		AKRAM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Eisa B Elhile		1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no even ion. s, a reply within the statute period will apply and will or statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 December 200	04.						
		This action is no							
3)	Since this application is in condition for all	=		secution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 14,16,17,19-28,31 and 32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 14,16-17, 19-28 and 31-32 is/are rejected.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beet the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been ments have been e priority documen sureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	(i) Other:	· ···· pprocessing in	 ,					

DETAILED ACTION

- 1 This action is responsive to the amendment filed on December 21, 2004.
- A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/21/2004 has been entered.
- 3 Pending claims are 14, 16-17, 19-28 and 31-32.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawkins et al. (US 5,843,193) in view of Akram et al. (US 5,494,489).

Hawkins (US' 193) teaches a hair dyeing composition comprising cationic conditioning agent of quaternary ammonium salts as claimed in claims 14, 17 and 27 (see col. 9, lines 50-67 and col. 10, lines 1-14), dye precursors as claimed in claims 14 and 23 (primary intermediates) (see col. 2, lines 17-67), anionic tensides (anionic surfactants) of water soluble soaps as claimed in claim 16 (see col. 7, line 9), cationic polymers of quaternary derivative of cellulose as claimed in claim 19 (see col. 10, lines 56-60), silicone fluids (oil) as claimed in claim 22 (see col. 12, line 21) and hydrolyzed protein as claimed in claim 21 (see col. 14, Example 1). Hawkins also

Art Unit: 1751

teaches a method for dyeing hair comprising applying to the hair the dyeing composition as described above, wherein the method is similar to the claimed method as claimed in claims 28 and 31-32 (see col. 14, lines 1-23).

The instant claims differ from the reference by reciting a composition comprising a quaternary ammonium phospholipids compounds of the claimed formula (I) in which R represents the claimed formula (II).

However, the primary reference teaches a dyeing composition that comprises cationic conditioning such as polyquaternium 10 and quaternary ammonium salts (see col. 10, lines 56-65).

Akram (US' 489) in analogous art of hair dyeing composition, teaches a composition comprising tris(3-N,N-dimethyl-N-linolenamidopropyl-2-hydroxyammoniumpropyl) phosphoric acid ester-trichloride (Phospholipids EFA) (described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,209,449 incorporated herein by reference, whereas the reference's compound may represented by a formula similar the claimed formula (I), when in the claimed formula (I), Y is 0, A is oxy-2-hydroxypropy (-O-CH2-CHOH-CH2-) and R³ is monounsaturated C₈ to C₁₈ acyl radical and when in the reference the compound of tris(3-N,N-dimethyl-N-linolenamidopropyl-2-

hydroxyammoniumpropyl)phosphoric acid ester-trichloride (Phospholipids EFA) represents 2-hydroxypropyl radical attached from one side to a quaternary ammonium radical carrying two methyl radicals and a tertiary amine radical having monounsaturated C₁₈ acyl radical to form a linolenamide group and attached from other side to a phosphoric radical which represents the claimed compound linoleamidopropyl PG-Dimonium chloride phosphate ((Phospholipids EFA) as claimed in claims 26 and 31 (see col. 3, lines 61-64).

Art Unit: 1751

Therefore, In view of the teaching of the secondary reference, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to modify the composition of the primary reference by incorporating the tris(3-N,N-dimethyl-N-linolenamidopropyl-2-hydroxyammoniumpropyl) phosphoric acid ester-trichloride (Phospholipids EFA) as taught by Akram to make such a composition with a reasonable expectation of success. Such modification would be obvious because the primary reference suggests the used of the cationic conditioning of a polymeric quaternary ammonium salts (see col. 10, lines 56-64) and the secondary reference teaches clearly that the use of Phospholipids compounds in the hair colorant composition succeeds in achieving an improvement in the area of wet-combing behavior by 48% (see col. 4, lines 48-53), and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to incorporate the phospholipids compounds in the hair dyeing composition in order to improve the wet-combing behavior, absent, unexpected results.

With respect to claim 20 it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make such a composition by incorporating the cationic polymer of polyquaternium-2 in the composition of the primary reference because the primary reference teaches a hair dyeing composition comprising a cationic polymer of polyquaternium-10 as a cationic conditioning agent (see col. 10, line 62), and, thus, a person of an ordinary skill in the art would expect such a composition to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawkins et al. (US 5,843,193) in view of Akram et al. (US 5,494,489) and further in view of Cotteret et al. (US 5,580,357).

Page 5

The disclosures of Hawkins (US' 193) and Akram (US' 489) are summarized above. The references do not teach or disclose at least one indole derivatives or indoline derivatives as claimed in claim 24. The references also do not teach at least one substantive dye or natural dye as claimed in claim 25.

However, the primary reference of Hawkins (US' 193) teaches a dyeing composition comprising that may comprise a number of dyeing ingredients (primary intermediates and couplers) (see col. 2, lines 21-67 and col. 3, lines 10-55) and the secondary reference of Akram (US' 489) teaches a colorant composition comprising one or more developers, one or more couplers and direct absorbing dyes (see col. 2, lines 11-12 and lines 15-27).

Cotteret (US' 357) in other analogous art of hair dyeing composition teaches a composition comprising indole derivatives as claimed in claim 24 (see col. 4, line 5) and substantive dyes such as azo or anthroquinone dyes as claimed in claim 25 (see col. 4, lines 8-9).

Therefore, in view of the teaching of the secondary reference, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to modify the composition of the primary reference by incorporating the indole derivatives and the substantive dyes as taught by Cotteret to make such a composition with a reasonable expectation of success. Such modification would be obvious because the reference of Cotteret teaches that other coupling agents (indole derivatives) and/or direct dyes (substantive dyes) are used in the composition in particular to tinting or enriching with glints the colors provided by the oxidation dye precursors (see col. 3, lines 63-67), and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to incorporate these dyeing ingredients of indole derivatives and/or substantive dyes in the hair dyeing

composition in order to enrich the color with glints, and would expect such a composition to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

6 Applicant's arguments filed 12/21/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection of the claims based in view of the combined references,

Applicant argues that the combined references do not disclose or even suggest that superior

conditioning can be achieved with the combination of a cationic polymer and an anionic tenside

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above argument because the combined references teach and disclose dyeing compositions comprising cationic polymers and anionic surfactants which are similar to those claimed and therefore, there is a sufficient motivation to a person of the ordinary skill in the art to apply such a composition for dyeing hair with the reasonable expectation of achieving excellent results and would expect that similar composition would have similar properties in the absence of contrary.

The examiner advises the applicant to provide a data or showing to demonstrate that the claimed composition obtained unexpected and an obvious results over the composition of the closest prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Art Unit: 1751

supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eisa Elhilo
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1751

February 9, 2005